SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes.

GENATOR WITEK: I am aware that, in compliance with the bill that we passed last year, several clinics are running a tape. I had originally thought when we put the bill through that the individual would be talking to an actual person when they requested some of the information. I am wondering if you would be amenable at some point to, since we are asking the physicians to do this, if the physician would be allowed to put a tape and just play a tape for that child in the seventh grade instead of actually talking to the physician as this same thing is happening in compliance with LB 110 from last year.

SENATOR BOHLKE: That would not be our intent, Senator Witek, and I would assume unless the physician had, you know, a robot there to do the weighing and do the blood pressure and everything else that is required when you do the physical, that they certainly couldn't just do a tape because it is a complete physical that the child has entering seventh grade. So I don't...but if there is some wording to ensure that, I am open to that because I, too, want to see a dialogue if there are any quentions between a physician and the young person receiving the physical.

SENATOR WITEK: That's what I had wanted to see through LB 110 last year, and it is becoming more apparent that dialogue is not occurring. A tape is being played to individuals seeking information. So if there is some language possibly that you would need to ensure that the physician, himself, would be giving this information to that individual, then perhaps we need to amend LB 110 to assure that the same thing that those individuals who are being given information on 110 are being given that...to that face-to-face by an individual who is knowledgeable.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Thank you, Senator Witek. But I would prefer, you know, you can discuss that but I think we are on LB 660.

SENATOR WITEK: Right, so maybe on LB 660 if your idea is to make sure and to ensure that that seventh grade student is actually receiving the information from a physician, I just want you to be aware that possibly your amendment needs to be amended to assure that because I know last year I had thought the same thing, that the information was being given, and it is not, so I